| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|---|--|--|
| | 09/266,675 | KIMMERLY, RANDY S. |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| Library and the second | Anh Ly | 2162 |
| All Participants: | Status of Application | on: |
| (1) <u>Anh Ly</u> . | (3) | |
| (2) mr. Schaefer (Reg. No.: 50,800). | (4) | |
| Date of Interview: 30 May 2006 | Time: | |
| Type of Interview: ☑ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☑ Applic | cant's representative) | |
| Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No If Yes, provide a brief description: . | | |
| Part I. | | |
| Rejection(s) discussed: Applicant's representative agreed to cancle claims 15-22. | | |
| Claims discussed: | | |
| Prior art documents discussed: | | |
| Part II. | | |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE | ERAL NATURE OF WHA | T WAS DISCUSSED: |
| Part III. | | |
| It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. To of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summa | ne examiner will provide record of the substance | a written summary of the substance of the interview, since the interview |
| | | |
| | | |
| AN | (| |
| 05/11/01 | | |
| (ExaminerSPE Signature) (Applicar | nt/Applicant's Representa | tive Signature – if appropriate) |
| U.S. Patent and Trademark Office | ntondow Cummany | DN- 20070520 |

3B (0:

ner initiated interview Sun